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LEGAL GAMBLING IN THE US


## FAST FACTS ABOUT GAMBLING IN THE U.S.

- 48 of 50 States have some form of legal gambling
- In 2012, 43 States operated a lottery
- In 2012, there were 466 Tribal casinos in 28 states: 114 in OK, 70 in CA, 39 in MN, 34 in WA, 31 in WI, 26 in AZ
- In 2012, there were 503 casinos/racinos in 23 States: 265 in NV, 30 in MS, 22 in MI, 18 in LA \& IA, 13 in MO, 12 in $\mathrm{NJ}, 11$ in PA
- As of 2010, pari-mutuel wagering was legal in 42 states
- Legal age to gamble is 18 or 21 , depending on the state
- No federal law directly forbids gambling


## POPULATION COMPARISON

| California (1) | $38,041,430$ | Germany | $80,640,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Texas (2) | $26,059,203$ | UK | $64,231,000$ |
| New York (3) | $19,570,261$ | France | $63,820,000$ |
| Florida (4) | $19,317,568$ | Italy | $59,789,000$ |
| Illinois (5) | $12,875,255$ | Spain | $46,958,000$ |
| Pennsylvania (6) | $12,763,536$ | Poland | $38,548,000$ |
| Ohio (7) | $11,544,225$ | Netherlands | $16,795,000$ |
| Georgia (8) | $9,919,945$ | Ontario, Canada | $12,851,821$ |
| Michigan (9) | $9,883,360$ | Quebec, Canada | $7,903,001$ |
| New Jersey (11) | $8,864,590$ | Denmark | $5,612,000$ |
| Massachusetts (14) | $6,646,144$ | Ireland | $4,662,000$ |
| Nevada (36) | $2,758,931$ | BC, Canada | $4,400,057$ |
| Delaware (46) | 917,092 | Malta | 419,000 |
| US Virgin Islands | 105,256 | Isle of Man | 87,000 |



## Land Based Gambling




## STATES WITH TRIBAL CASINOS (2012)



## STATES WITH CARD ROOMS (2012)



## STATES WITH ELECTRONIC GAMING DEVICES (2012)



## SPENDING ON CASINO GAMBLING AT CASINOS/RACINOS (2012)

| Rank | State | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Nevada | \$10.86 Billion |
| 2 | Pennsylvania | $\$ 3.16$ Billion |
| 3 | New Jersey | $\$ 3.05$ Billion |
| 4 | Indiana | $\$ 2.61$ Billion |
| 5 | Louisiana | $\$ 2.40$ Billion |
| 6 | Mississippi | $\$ 2.25$ Billion |
| 7 | New York | $\$ 1.80$ Billion (VLTs only) |
| 8 | Illinois | $\$ 1.64$ Billion |
| 9 | lowa | $\$ 1.47$ Billion |
| 10 | Michigan | $\$ 1.42$ Billion |



Mixed Land \& Interactive Gambling


## PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING

- At the track or jai alai fronton
- Off-track simulcast facilities
- ADW using phone or Internet

STATES WITH PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING/ADW (2010)


## AMOUNT BET ON PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING

| Year | At the Track | Off Track | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2000 | $\$ 2,270$ Billion | $\$ 12,051$ Billion | $\$ 14,321$ Billion |
| 2001 | $\$ 2,112$ Billion | $\$ 12,487$ Billion | $\$ 14,599$ Billion |
| 2002 | $\$ 2,029$ Billion | $\$ 13,033$ Billion | $\$ 15,062$ Billion |
| 2003 | $\$ 1,902$ Billion | $\$ 13,278$ Billion | $\$ 15,180$ Billion |
| 2004 | $\$ 1,860$ Billion | $\$ 13,239$ Billion | $\$ 15,099$ Billion |
| 2005 | $\$ 1,741$ Billion | $\$ 12,820$ Billion | $\$ 14,561$ Billion |
| 2006 | $\$ 1,688$ Billion | $\$ 13,097$ Billion | $\$ 14,785$ Billion |
| 2007 | $\$ 1,670$ Billion | $\$ 13,055$ Billion | $\$ 14,725$ Billion |
| 2008 | $\$ 1,489$ Billion | $\$ 12,173$ Billion | $\$ 13,662$ Billion |
| 2009 | $\$ 1,325$ Billion | $\$ 10,990$ Billion | $\$ 12,315$ Billion |
| 2010 | $\$ 1,199$ Billion | $\$ 10,220$ Billion | $\$ 11,419$ Billion |
| 2011 | $\$ 1,229$ Billion | $\$ 9,541$ Billion | $\$ 10,770$ Billion |
| 2012 | $\$ 1,239$ Billion | $\$ 9,643$ Billion | $\$ 10,882$ Billion |

## STATE-OPERATED LOTTERIES

- Ticket (drawing or instant) purchase from landbased lottery agent/retailer at counter or at lottery terminal
- "Online play" - instant ticket bought and played on a lottery terminal located at a lottery retailer's premises
- Internet purchase of tickets (subscription/individual)
- "Play later" tickets/cards played using Internet ("credit redemption") or physically (scratch tickets, pull tabs)
- Second chance drawings
- i-lottery games - games paid for and played via Internet


## STATES OPERATING LOTTERIES



| New York | $19,570,261(3)$ | $\$ 8,439$ million (includes VLTs) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Massachusetts | $6,646,144(14)$ | $\$ 4,471$ million |
| Florida | $19,317,568(4)$ | $\$ 4,450$ million |
| California | $38,041,430(1)$ | $\$ 4,371$ million |
| Texas | $26,059,203(2)$ | $\$ 4,191$ million |
| Georgia | $9,919,945(8)$ | $\$ 3,835$ million |
| Rhode Island | $1,050,292(44)$ | $\$ 3,532$ million (includes VLTs) |
| Pennsylvania | $12,763,536(6)$ | $\$ 3,208$ million |
| New Jersey | $8,864,590(11)$ | $\$ 2,759$ million |
| Ohio | $11,544,225(7)$ | $\$ 2,750$ million (includes VLTs) |
| Illinois | $12,875,255(5)$ | $\$ 2,680$ million |
| Michigan | $9,883,360(9)$ | $\$ 2,413$ million |
| Maryland | $5,884,563(19)$ | $\$ 1,990$ million (includes VLTs) |
| Delaware | $9917,092(46)$ | $\$ 687$ million (includes VLTs) |
| Minnesota | $5,379,139(21)$ | $\$ 520$ million |



State/Territory i-Gambling Legislation Update


## STATUS OF STATE/TERRITORY I-GAMBLING LEGISLATIVE EFFORTS



## LEGAL I-GAMBLING

- Nevada -
- 2001 law authorized i-gambling by licensed casino operators with i-gaming license; regulations for i-poker put in place in 2011
- real money i-poker went live on April 30, 2013
- Delaware -
- i-casino (including poker) and i-lottery authorized 2012
- i-gambling platform awarded in May 2013 to consortium of Sci Games, WMS, and 888.com
- Real money i-casino (no poker) went live on November 8, 2013
- New Jersey
- i-gambling (casino and poker) authorized 2013
- US Virgin Islands
- i-gambling authorized 2002 but yet to be implemented


## STATES WHERE I-GAMBLING BILLS FAILED IN 2013

- IOWA
- ILLINOIS
- HAWAII
- MISSISSIPPI
- TEXAS


## STATES WITH PENDING BILLS THAT WOULD PERMIT I-GAMBLING

- California
- SB 51 - introduced by Senator Wright
- SB 678 - introduced by Senator Correa, supported by the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
- Pennsylvania
- HB 1235 would permit casino licensees to offer approved igambling games
- HB 1404 would prohibit i-gambling
- Massachusetts
- SB 101 would permit State Lottery to offer i-lottery games
- SB 197 would permit casino/slots license holders to offer igambling not being offered by Lottery or that looks/acts like slots


Status of State Lottery Efforts


## STATES WITH INTERNET LOTTERY TICKET SALES,

 GAME PLAY, AND I-LOTTERY GAMES IN PROCESS

## LEGAL I-LOTTERY (TICKETS \& GAMES)

- States currently selling individual draw tickets via internet/mobile device: IL (March 2012), MN (Sept. 2013), GA (Nov. 2013 via pre-paid card); State authorized to sell individual draw tickets via internet: DE $(\sqrt{ } \sqrt{ })$
- States currently selling subscriptions for certain lottery draw games: NH, ND, VA, NY, MN ( $\sqrt{ }$ )
- State currently selling Keno games at lottery retailers playable via Internet: MD; State authorized to sell instant ticket games at lottery retailers or via pre-paid card: DE (X)
- States slated to offer real money i-lottery games via Internet before end 2013: GA, MN (\#)
- State announcing award for i-lottery (ticket sales \& games) in Nov. 2013: MI (bills pending to prohibit i-lottery) ( $\Delta$ )


Tribal Gaming


## WHY TRIBES?

## TRIBES OPERATE CASINOS IN 28 STATES



## TRIBAL CASINOS IN CALIFORNIA



## K\&L GATES

## TRIBES WILL HAVE A SAY IN I-GAMING

- Tribes earned gaming revenues of $\$ 27$ billion, or almost 44 percent of total US casino gaming revenues of $\$ 63$ billion (2011)
- Tribes have exclusive land-based gaming rights in states including California, Oklahoma, Connecticut, and Florida
- Tribes and tribal coalitions have the political clout at the state and federal level to impact online gaming development
- How will they use it?


## WHAT GOVERNS \& WHO REGULATES TRIBAL GAMING?

## INDIAN GAMING REGULATORY ACT ("IGRA")

- 25 U.S.C. §§ 2701-2721 (enacted October 17,1988
- IGRA accommodates Federal, State and Tribal interests:
- Federal statutory oversight over gaming to protect from organized crime and corruption
- Provide States with some authority over gaming on Tribal land
- Promote Tribal government, self-sufficiency and economic development


## PREREQUISITES TO GAMING UNDER IGRA

- Possession of "Indian lands"
- Federal approval of gaming ordinance
- Tribes regulate "Class II" games (bingo and nonbanked card games permitted by state)
- If "Class III" (casino-type games - slot machines, house banking games, roulette, craps, and keno):
- Tribal land is located in a state that permits Class III gaming activity
- Tribal-state gaming compact is source of law
- Tribal gaming authority is primary regulator


## TRIBAL-STATE GAMING COMPACTS

- Compacts typically address:
- Revenue share
- Regional gaming exclusivity
- Games permitted
- Duration
- Investigation and licensing of participants
- Civil and criminal jurisdiction
- Internal controls
- Some compacts do not address l-gaming; some are ambiguous; some condition or limit l-gaming


## TRIBAL CONCERNS ABOUT I-GAMING

- Sovereignty
- Protection of existing tribal land-based casinos
- Whether I-gaming is governed by IGRA
- Whether I-gaming is covered by Tribal-State gaming compacts
- Types of I-gaming proposed to be offered
- Taxation/revenue share
- Regulation/enforcement
- Exclusivity
- Source of law


## SOURCE OF LAW WILL IMPACT TRIBAL ONLINE GAMING

- Federal law
- Federal law is supreme law of land
- Only the US Congress has the constitutional power to regulate commerce with Native American tribes
- State law
- States can license and regulate tribal gaming enterprises undertaken outside tribal lands as they do for non-tribal commercial activities


## TRIBAL AND COMMERCIAL GAMING

## Tribal Gaming

- Conducted on sovereign Tribal land
- Regulated by Tribe under federal oversight
- Scope of gaming established by TribalState compact
- Gaming revenue not subject to state taxation; "revenue-share"
- Tribal self-sufficiency


## Commercial Gaming

- Privately owned by operator or consortium
- Regulated by state gaming commission
- Scope of gaming based on state legislation and license
- Gaming revenue subject to state taxation
- Profit/revenues to state


## OPPORTUNITIES EXIST IN INDIAN COUNTRY



Issues


## INTERACTIVE GAMBLING ISSUES

- Will more states authorize i-gambling/i-lottery in 2014 ?
- How will smaller states/territories address liquidity for ipoker?
- Why should large states enter into interstate compacts?
- Should i-gambling licenses by limited to entities with state gambling licenses or open to all qualified applicants?
- Should entities involved in US-facing i-gambling pre- or post-UIGEA be permitted to seek licenses?
- What are the pros and cons to the NJ and DE models?
- In states with lotteries and commercial casinos, should both be permitted to offer interactive games?


## ISSUES CONTINUED

- Will Adelson's opposition to i-gambling have an impact?
- How will the tribal i-gambling issues be resolved?
- Will tribes be willing to compete (as in PA and MA for commercial casino licenses) for state i-gambling licenses?
- Will Congress take any action in connection with igaming?
- Will credit card companies/banks make credit card use easier?
- Will/can lottery retailers be convinced that i-lottery games will not undercut their profit/business?


Questions?
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