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Consumer Product Safety Commission

A little context:

- Under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) has jurisdiction over approximately 15,000 “consumer products.”
- Used in or around a permanent or temporary household or residence, school, in recreation, or otherwise.
- For personal use, consumption or enjoyment.
- Also jurisdiction over hazardous substances, packaging, and fabrics under other Acts.
Food and Drug Administration

- A little context:
  - Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has jurisdiction over 25 cents of every consumer dollar spent.
  - Food, dietary supplement, prescription drugs, over-the-counter (OTC) drugs, cosmetics, biologics, medical devices, and veterinary products.
CPSC Jurisdiction Does Not Include:

- Foods, drugs, cosmetics or medical devices but …
Jurisdictions Intersect and Overlap:

- Federal Hazardous Substance Act (FHSA) does not expressly exclude medical devices and can reach containers and packaging of cosmetics and food.

- Poison Prevention Packaging Act (PPPA) expressly grants agency authority over packaging for controlled drugs and certain Rx drugs, OTC drugs, dietary supplements, and cosmetics.
Historical View of Agency Intersect

- Food Containers: Mechanical or outside of container issue $\rightarrow$ CPSC; leaching into food $\rightarrow$ FDA.
- Child Resistant Packaging $\rightarrow$ CPSC; stability, safety or effectiveness $\rightarrow$ FDA.
- Cosmetics $\rightarrow$ FDA, except when part of a toy, then CPSC.
- Medical devices $\rightarrow$ FDA, but line is blurry for some OTC devices.
CPSC Authority

- To set safety standards;
- Ban products;
- Recall products that present a “substantial product hazard”;
- Investigate using inspections or subpoenas; and
- Enforce by refusing entry to imports, seizing violative products, civil, and criminal penalties.
2007-2008 Major Incidents Prompting Complete Review of CPSC Authority and Product Safety

- Violations of lead paint ban in toys and children’s products.
- Magnets and other defects in toys.
- Crib recalls.
- Melamine in pet food, toothpaste, infant formula.
- Hazardous chemicals in toys, e.g., Aquadots with chemical that metabolized into GHB.
- Increasing public concern about phthalates and bisphenol A (BPA) in food packaging and children’s toys.
Reactions

- Commission set up import team to work with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and find violative product at ports.
- Increased enforcement actions and recalls.
- Actions by State governments.
- Congress passed Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA).
Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008

Public Law 110-314; Enacted August 14th, 2008
Effective date: November 12, 2008
CPSIA -- Highlights

- Reauthorizes CPSC.
- Significantly expands CPSC’s authority.
- Provides steady increase in resources.
- Creates new obligations for manufacturers of consumer products.
  - General Certificate of Conformity
  - Third Party Testing for Certain Children’s Products
  - Phthalate Standard
  - Total Lead Limits
General Certificate of Conformity

- Certification requirement applies to all manufacturers of domestic products, or importers of products, subject to any consumer product safety rule, ban, standard, or regulation enforced by the CPSC.
- Broad applicability across “consumer products.”
- If product has a pediatric indication, may be subject to additional third party testing requirements.
- CPSIA Section 102.
General Certificate of Conformity

- Certificate must be based on a test of the individual product or a “reasonable testing program.”
- Certificate must “accompany” the product or shipment of products covered by the certificate.
- A copy of the certificate must be “furnished” to each distributor or retailer of the product.
Implementation of Certification Requirement

- Initially, CPSC to focus enforcement efforts on product compliance with safety requirements.
- Only domestic manufacturers and importers need to issue certificates for now.
- Electronic certificates can “accompany” products and can be “furnished” to distributors or retailers through posting on a website or other electronic means.

*CPSC Final Rule: 73 Fed. Reg. 68328, 68331 (Nov. 18, 2008)*
Content of Certificate (Section 102(g))

- Name of product(s) covered by the certificate and citation to each applicable CPSC regulation, rule, standard, or ban;
- Date and place of manufacture;
- Date and place where the product was tested;
- Identification of manufacturer or importer certifying compliance (including full mailing address and telephone number);
- Contact information of party maintaining records of test results; and
- Identification of any third party laboratory, if applicable.
Ambiguities

- Applicable products - does not include those products voluntarily complying.
- How should information be displayed on websites?
- “Date and place product was manufactured.”
- “Date and place product was tested.”
- “Reasonable testing program.”
- Who should supply certificate when multiple parties make components of the same product?
Third Party Testing

- Requires manufacturers of “children’s products” to have products tested by accredited third parties to establish conformity with CPSC regulations.
  - For children 12 and under;
  - CPSC setting standards for approval of such conformity assessment firms;
  - Maintain test records minimum of 3 years;
  - CPSIA Section 102.
Third Party Testing

- Effective dates: Dates depend on each regulation. Upcoming effective dates include:
  - Lead paint by December 21, 2008;
  - Cribs and pacifiers by January 2009;
  - Small parts by February 2009;
  - Metal jewelry by March 2009;
  - Baby bouncers, walkers, and jumpers by June 2009;
  - 300 ppm lead content limit by August 2009; and
Other Requirements for “Children’s Products”

- New standards and regulation for children’s nursery products (CPSIA Section 104).
- Labeling and advertising requirements (CPSIA Section 105).
  - Websites (Dec. 2008)
  - Catalogs (Feb 2009, but Commission will give firms whose catalogs were published before Feb. 2009 until August 2009)
Phthalate Standard

- Essentially bans children’s toys or “child care articles” that contain certain phthalates (CPSIA Section 108) including:
  - BBP, DEHP, and DBP;
  - Interim ban of such items that may be mouthed including DIDP, DINP, or DNOP;
Phthalate Standard

- “Children’s toy” defined as “consumer product designed or intended ... for a child 12 years of age or younger for use by the child when the child plays.”
- “Child care article” defined as “consumer product designed or intended ... to facilitate sleep or the feeding of children age 3 and younger or to help such children with sucking or teething.”
Ambiguities

- Retroactive application to existing inventory.
  - CPSC has said “No” (Advisory Opinion 11/17/08)
- Application to houseware products (plates, cups, baby bottles, feeding utensils).
  - CPSC has said “Yes” to pacifiers
  - CPSC has said “No” to toothbrushes
  - Medical devices fall outside “consumer products” definition, but some appear to fall within intended meaning and wording of statutory provision
- Need to test non-plastic products to certify?
Total Lead Limits

- Ban of children’s products containing more than 600 ppm of lead (CPSIA Section 101).
  - Effective date: February 2009
  - Banning level to be reduced in August 2009 to 300 ppm
- 100 ppm in 2011 if technologically feasible.
- Surface coatings.
- Inaccessible component parts exempted.
Lead limits

- Mandatory third party testing for lead paint and (in March) children’s jewelry.
- Retroactive application to inventory.
  - CPSC has said “Yes” (Advisory Opinion 9/12/08)
Ambiguities

- Definition of “inaccessible component part.”
- Testing methods and third party accreditation.
- Preemption of state requirements.
Other Notable Provisions

- Broader reporting and recall authority to include all products regulated by CPSC under all of its statutes.
- Substantial hazard rules based on voluntary standards.
- State Attorney General Enforcement.
- Civil penalties to increase to $15 million by August 2009.
- Felony authority for criminal violations.
Ambiguities

- New reporting and recall authority appears to apply over products whether or not they are “consumer products.”
  - May expand reporting and recall obligations of FDA-regulated products if related to a PPPA or FHSA violation.
- ASTM F963-07 toy standard incorporates by reference FDA requirements for cosmetics, food, and cooking containers, but states that cosmetics for children under 8 must still meet the toy standard.
  - Uncertain applicability to other products.
  - Since standard is not expressly limited to “consumer products,” teething toys and other products are potentially subject to its requirements.
Thank you

- Questions??

- Suzan Onel: 202-778-9134
  suzan.onel@klgates.com

- Eric Stone: 202-778-9014
  eric.stone@klgates.com
Below are links to the CPSC sample certificate and instructions and several company certificates that are publicly available over the Internet. We do not endorse any specific company certificates, but provide them merely as examples of potential ways to structure the General Certificate of Conformity.

For examples, please see:
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