Broadband over Power Line: Key Legal Issues for Utilities

Beyond the technical and operational issues that must be considered in the deployment of a BPL platform, myriad legal and regulatory issues must be taken into account in a properly structured BPL program. This first of three planned essays provides an overview of some of the key legal issues facing entities that provide services and content riding on a BPL platform.

I. Introduction and Overview

In the current period where numerous broadband technologies are vying to fill various market niches, there has been much speculation that broadband information and telecommunication services may be increasingly available over power lines used as broadband access platforms – commonly referred to as “Broadband over Power Line,” or BPL. BPL is a group of technologies that allow digital information using Internet Protocol, an open network protocol, to be transmitted over utility power lines. It has the capability to support both upstream and downstream speeds exceeding 200 kbps in the last mile to an electrical outlet in a residence or business. All that is needed is the outlet – there is no need for a telephone, cable, or satellite service.

The “IP-enabled” services that BPL supports include Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP), instant messaging, streaming media, online gaming, virtual private networks, and peer-to-peer file sharing. To be connected to the Internet through BPL, the customer (business or
consumer) simply plugs a modem into a wall outlet and subscribes for Internet service.

After a long period during which expectations exceeded implementations for BPL, the technological and logistical issues are finally being resolved. This may enable service to be available in remote areas and may reduce the cost of service through increased competition in broadband services.

Beyond the technical and operational issues that must be considered in the deployment of a BPL platform, myriad legal and regulatory issues must be taken into account in a properly structured BPL program. This series of three articles, which will be published over several issues of The Electricity Journal, is intended to provide a context for the evaluation of these issues and to begin to provide a checklist of areas that should be considered.

While broadband is finally starting to fulfill its promise of delivering a variety of services, many issues remain unresolved. In the case of BPL, issues arise from the fact that the services are offered utilizing the facilities of regulated utilities. Unresolved legal and regulatory issues include those related to state and federal telecommunications regulation, state and federal regulation of affiliate transactions (including limits imposed by the Public Utility Holding Company Act (PUHCA)), local franchising, tax matters, liability, and ISP contractual and statutory matters.

These articles will address some of these opportunities and challenges for BPL. This first article provides an introduction to broadband, and the acronyms, terms and relationships of various technologies associated with the implementation of BPL and the services that potentially will ride on a BPL platform. It also provides a business context for the discussion. The article also provides an overview of some of the key legal issues facing entities that provide services and content riding on a BPL platform.

The second article, to be published in the near future, will provide an overview of the key regulatory issues applicable to BPL beyond the radiofrequency interference issues (RFI) that have been the focus of recent proceedings at the Federal Communications Commission. In those proceedings, the FCC has adopted new technical rules applicable to BPL designed to foster its deployment while addressing RFI concerns of licensed spectrum users. In the final article, tax and related billing and payment administration issues will be discussed, and considerations for structuring and contracting with customers and suppliers will be suggested.

II. Introduction to the Broadband Market and the Emergence of BPL

A. The current broadband market

"Broadband" refers to high-speed transmission of data over an "always-on" connection. The FCC defines "broadband" to mean "all evolving high-speed digital technologies that provide consumers integrated access to voice, high-speed data, video-on-demand, and interactive delivery services." At present, the majority of broadband service is provided through either cable modem service provided over cable systems or DSL service provided over telephone lines. Approximately two-thirds of all residential and small business broadband subscribers have broadband access through a cable connection. Most of the other third have DSL access. Importantly, many broadband users do not have a choice between cable or DSL due to technology and infrastructure limitations. The current market penetration of cable and DSL is due to the fact that they are operated by entities that owned the required infrastructure in place (i.e., wired telephone and cable systems) prior to the advent of broadband,
though in the case of DSL there are also several companies that provide DSL service through leased access to telephone company lines to subscriber premises known as “local loops.”

This presence of installed infrastructure makes connection easier for subscribers. For example, to use a cable modem, a user connects her computer to the same cable line that delivers cable television service. DSL access is achieved by connecting to existing copper telephone lines.

Because many U.S. households and businesses do not have access to either cable or DSL service, there is increasing interest in developing alternative technologies that can deliver broadband services to consumers and businesses, including wireless and BPL technologies. Wireless has the advantage of limited infrastructure requirements (i.e., no wires), but is limited by spectrum availability and other technical constraints. BPL has the advantage of a significant ubiquitous existing infrastructure (as is the case for telephone, and to a lesser extent, cable), but faces technological constraints related to, among other things, the need to control RFI and the associated impact on system capacity and speed, measured in kilobits per second (kbps) or megabits per second (Mbps).

B. Limitations on growth of the broadband market

The gradual growth of broadband is due in large measure to the relatively high service cost and the “catch 22” of content development. Broadband subscriptions can cost up to five times as much as a dial-up connection. Many potential subscribers likely feel that the extra cost is not warranted for general e-mail and Internet browsing. In addition, because of the relatively low subscribership, companies have not been aggressive about developing content aimed at taking advantage of broadband transmission speeds. This lack of content has kept consumer demand low. Additional hurdles include legal and regulatory uncertainty, lack of cost-effective equipment, and financing issues, among others.6

In addition, there are technical and historical limitations that have limited the growth of existing services. Cable systems were originally designed to service residential customers, with the result that most businesses were not connected to cable networks. Where businesses are not connected to the local cable system, cable providers cannot provide service to businesses without spending additional amounts for systems build-outs. There are also hurdles to widespread DSL deployment. DSL requires a continuous “clean” copper loop to the subscriber’s premises and is distance-limited, which has been a hurdle to DSL deployment in less populated locations.7

Broadband providers also face operational challenges affecting their ability to deploy broadband in a timely and ubiquitous manner. Two major challenges concern access to multi-tenant buildings and public rights-of-way. Some of the problems might not interfere with BPL, however, because the service is provided over power lines already in place, providing electric service to residences and businesses. However, as will be discussed in a later article in this series, it is unclear at this time what additional requirements local governments may seek to impose on BPL systems that use facilities occupying public rights-of-way.

C. The role of wireless services in broadband

Wireless services can be deployed more rapidly and often more cheaply than wired service, but are subject to certain technical and regulatory limitations. Historically, fixed wireless networks have been used to provide backhaul transport and private line services, though more recently fixed wireless technologies are being used to provide high speed private networks and Internet

---

66 1040-6190/$ – see front matter © 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved., doi:/10.1016/j.tej.2005.04.004  The Electricity Journal
Several unlicensed technologies, including devices that are compliant with the 802.11b and 802.11 standards and other similar technologies, popularly referred to as “WiFi,” provide broadband access that are enjoying wide popularity. The unlicensed devices that use such technologies are covered by Part 15 of the FCC’s rules (as the FCC has suggested for BPL), which establish technical requirements for devices, but not for the services provided through them. The rules are primarily designed to assure that devices not interfere with licensed services. Once devices are certified as complying with Part 15’s technical rules (which are designed to prevent interference), they may be sold directly to consumers without any further FCC involvement. In short, these unlicensed devices and services can be offered without the costs of acquiring an FCC license.

Although such unlicensed devices can play a role in providing service to homes and businesses (the so called “last mile” broadband solutions), to date, most have been used to provide home networking and other on-location solutions.

There are, however, now providers of wireless local area network equipment in both licensed and unlicensed bands that have data speeds of up to 2–3 Mbps. In addition, a relatively new technology in the early stages of development, known as WiMax (for “Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access”), is capable of transmitting signals greater than 30 miles at shared data rates of up to 75 Mbps. According to the FCC, WiMax has the potential to alter and further accelerate the development of broadband services.

---

**The FCC and the states are struggling to identify the appropriate regulatory regime for broadband services.**
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### D. The FCC position on BPL

In Late 2004, the FCC released new requirements and guidelines for BPL, suggesting that it be covered by the Part 15 regime referenced above. The FCC noted that deployment of BPL will broaden access to broadband for residences and businesses and will enhance competition. As a result of the above considerations and other issues, rural areas are underserved in broadband services. The relative lack of service in rural areas offers opportunities for systems based on new technologies, including BPL.

---

### E. Additional regulatory hurdles for broadband services including BPL

Notwithstanding the recent FCC action regarding BPL, the FCC and the states are struggling to identify the appropriate regulatory regime for broadband services. The FCC will continue to grapple with the question of whether broadband services over telephone lines should be treated as a regulated “telephony” or as an unregulated “information service.” This decision has implications for federal and state regulation of the services and for tax and other issues. It also has implications for universal service requirements and law enforcement requirements. The recent FCC release regarding BPL offers greater certainty to potential BPL service providers, but does not resolve all issues. These and other regulatory questions will be dealt with in more detail in the next article in this series.

---

### F. The relationship of VOIP to BPL

Voice Over Internet Protocol services use Internet protocol to provide voice transmission. VOIP is provided in generally two ways. The first is by a VOIP system (including software and hardware) located at the customer’s premises. The second is by VOIP service provided through gateway computers acting as a link of VOIP systems and the public switched telephone network (PSTN). Gateway computers convert circuit-
switched voice signals into IP packets, and vice versa. They also provide functions related to system control, address translation, and perform other functions. Once converted to packets, voice can be transmitted along with other digitized data on the Internet and other networks.

VOIP services can be offered over BPL. This would be a logical combination of services from a consumer perspective, and could provide certain billing, customer relationship, and other efficiencies with respect to electrical, telephone, and information services. The provision of VOIP services over BPL, however, will require a thorough analysis of the combination of regulatory, tax, liability, and contractual issues arising from several separate areas of law such as electric utility, telephony, information services, and consumer arenas.

III. Legal Considerations in BPL Commercial Relationships

A. The evolving role of electrical utilities as ISPs

An Internet service provider (ISP) provides Internet access to consumers and businesses. ISPs also provide related services such as Web hosting, Web page design, and hardware and software consulting. The larger ISPs enter into contractual arrangements to assure access to leased telephone lines enabling them to provide service, but BPL provides an opportunity for ISPs to use electrical utility lines instead.

The ascendance of BPL will not result in all utilities becoming ISPs. Some utilities will lease their facilities to ISPs and share in revenues with the ISPs like landlords sharing sales revenues of tenants. Other utilities will become ISPs themselves and offer information services, accept consumer subscriptions, offer chat rooms, email services, content, and so on. The differences in the activities in which a utility engages, including activities associated with offering ISP services, will trigger different laws.

Importantly, as the roles of utility and ISP become mixed, any type of jointly provided service may trigger laws which are unfamiliar either to the entity that was historically only a utility or to an ISP. For example, if (a) the utility does not provide the ISP service but bills for it on the ISP’s behalf, or (b) the utility shares customer account or other information, or (c) either party sends emails advertising its own or the other’s services, laws such as the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act,10 the CAN-SPAM Act,11 and the FTC Telemarketing Sales Rule12 (which expressly includes “utility bills” as within its definition of “billing information”)13 should be examined to assure compliance.

B. Legal limitations imposed by contract are different than those arising under regulations

While utilities historically operated in a regulated context, the addition of BPL services, which are not subject to the same regulatory regime, will add a layer of complexity to the structuring of a BPL service. There is a great difference between a contract backed or enforced by a regulated utility tariff structure (such as with traditional utility activity), and one supported only by contract law (as in the BPL context).14 In the case where only contract law applies, one has to know what contract law applies and, as we explain below, there is a current legal void with respect to information contract law15 (except in Virginia16 and Maryland17 which have adopted the Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act). In addition, contracts, particularly consumer contracts, are under constant challenge, including by class action attorneys. Entities offering them need to track standard commercial and consumer law rules as well as laws regarding intellectual property and information. Properly structured
contracts may also be used to avoid surprise caused by regulatory and technological change, i.e., not all technologies are the same and disclosures may be appropriate in various circumstances (such as disclosures regarding the need for firewalls where a technology is “always on”).

The current regulatory regime for BPL should be evaluated in a broader context of overall business regulations. Just because the FCC is not licensing BPL services does not mean that they are entirely unregulated. They are “regulated” in the same sense that any business is regulated by business-specific regulators (e.g., the FTC for many ISPs) and myriad new laws regarding contracting or doing business electronically. They are also regulated by the states on various aspects of their business (such as rights of way issues and access to multi-tenant facilities for equipment).

C. The subject matter of a contract can affect which laws apply

The content of a contract may determine the applicable law. For example, utility customers are protected by law from having their power shut off without notice. But ISPs typically contract for the ability to terminate ISP service instantly upon suspected breach. ISPs seek this contractual right because if time for cure is allowed, massive charges can be incurred that are not avoidable by the ISP. Data or information services create minute-by-minute costs, for example, stock quotes and access to software applications provided for a premium. Royalties are due from the ISP back to third-party creators or licensors and these costs do not stop when a customer stops paying or otherwise breaches the ISP contract. Harm multiplies in relationships of this type unless the arrangement can be ended or suspended quickly in the event of problems. Where BPL services are provided, however, several different rules may apply and will need to be considered (e.g., rules for utility and rules for the ISP).

The determination of which laws apply to contracts for the provision of BPL services will present analytical challenges. For example, consider what contract law in the U.S. governs electrical utility contracts when BPL services are also provided. Utilities can make and enforce contracts for traditional utility services via tariffs. But if the same utility offers information services, the analysis will be different: pure contract law, with no tariff structure, should apply. But there still remains the question of which specific contract laws will apply. Most lawyers would view a contract for the sale of electricity services as governed by the common law of contracts. But a minority of states treat it as if the electricity is a “good” just like a toaster, or at least they so treat it once it passes through a consumer’s meter (this has been called a “mystical” analysis by one commentator). In those states, UCC Article 2 contract law applies to the “goods” part of the contract, including implied warranties. The determination of whether digital information is a good further complicates the issue. Digital information is not a good under existing legal definitions, but this question is the subject of current legal debate and confusion in some settings.

Both kinds of contracts, the one for electricity and the one for information services, should be governed by the common law of contracts. But the question is not free from doubt and information services will also be impacted by intellectual property, e-commerce rules, and other laws, including the First Amendment.

D. Bill payment and credit considerations for utilities offering BPL services

Utility customers generally pay their bills: if they don’t, they lose power. The same compulsion will not be present with respect to payments for information.
services. For example, if a mother doesn’t want her son to spend so much time on the Internet any-
way, and wants to dispute a bill, she will do so without being very concerned about a loss of service
during the dispute.26 This difference in a customer’s willingness
to claim (rightly or wrongly)
obligations may require reconsideration of contracts
between utilities and their credit card processors (if the utility
accepts credit cards). To the extent
the utility accepted the fraud risk
under these contracts, it was a relatively small risk because most people will not dispute that they
ordered and received electricity (assuming they want to keep
receiving it). That is not true for all
information services.

E. Legal issues where utilities
and ISPs jointly provide
services

Utilities that provide ISPs with
access to infrastructure in a “joint
services” context will also have to
consider new legal issues. The
analysis of these issues will require
attention to the nature of what is
being offered, directly or indir-
ectly. Information such as soft-
ware, data compilations, and
movies are protected by copy-
right.27 If the utility’s “tenant” ISP
with whom the utility shares rev-
ue infringes copyrights, the
utility can be liable under concepts
of indirect infringement in certain
circumstances. To illustrate, in a
seminal copyright case an owner
of land on which a flea market
operated shared revenues from

F. Liability for infringement,
defamation, and other
unlawful activities by users

Defamation law has long
imposed liability on the person
who makes a defamatory comment,
on the person who republishes it,
and on the person who distributes
it, under various rules. Many
questions arise in this context for
ISPs. For example, if a user
defames someone in the ISP’s chat
room, is that a republication by the
ISP, may an ISP edit the comments,
or does that make the situation
worse? What if the user uploads
onto a bulletin board copyrighted
songs or movies – since the ISP’s
computers make a copy to allow
that, is that infringement by the ISP
(in addition to the infringement by the
up-loader)? In each case, fed-
eral laws include provisions
intended to protect the ISP if it
provides certain notices and
adopts certain policies.30 Some of
those laws also protect businesses
that merely transmit communications or cache them on their sys-
tems.31 Providers of BPL services
need to consider these laws and
implement the required policies
and systems in order to qualify for
available defenses.

G. Privacy and security issues

Utilities already collect and
store personal information about
their customers, but how much of
it is collected “online?” Several of
the new privacy and security laws
impact data collected online but
not offline,32 and several deal
with the security of computer
information, not paper files.33
Any utility acting as an ISP (or as a
utility) will want to take a fresh
look at these new laws to discern
and address their obligations.
Also, ISPs will want to become
familiar with the federal Elec-
tronic Communications Privacy
Act,34 which includes the Wiretap
Act35 (communications inter-
cepted during transmission) and
the Stored Communications Act36
(stored messages).
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