

# EU-UK ADEQUACY DECISIONS FINALIZED

Date: 30 June 2021

## EU Data Protection, Privacy, and Security Alert

By: Claude-Étienne Armingaud, Noirin M. McFadden, Sunny J. Kumar, Keisha Phippen

On 28 June 2021, within 48 hours of the expiration of the post-Brexit grace period under the UK-EU Trade and Cooperation Agreement, the [European Commission](#) has adopted [two adequacy decisions](#) addressing the transfers of personal data to the United Kingdom under the [General Data Protection Regulation](#) (GDPR) and the [Law Enforcement Directive](#), respectively (together, the UK Adequacy Decisions).

Both texts prohibit the transfer of personal data to “third countries” unless (a) the destination country benefits from (i) an adequacy decision or (ii) appropriate safeguards, such as standard contractual clauses (see our alert [here](#)) or codes of conduct (see our alert [here](#)); or (b) one of the limited derogations under [Article 49 GDPR](#) applies.

The UK Adequacy Decisions will allow a seamless flow of personal data between the United Kingdom and the European Union, concluding a six-month race against time (see our alert [here](#)).

### Key Points to Note:

1. Despite the severe concerns raised by the [European Data Protection Board](#) in its [Opinion 14/2021](#), due to the United Kingdom's national security, intelligence, and surveillance regime, the European Commission deemed that the United Kingdom provided for “strong safeguards” in relation to access to personal data by public authorities for national security reasons.
2. The European Commission will closely monitor any evolution in the UK data protection framework that would lead to divergence with the EU regulations. This is particularly relevant because the United Kingdom announced it could revise its privacy framework for a more liberal approach in the coming months (see the [Final Report](#) from the Task Force on Innovation, Growth and Regulatory Reform), foreshadowing the UK government's [National Data Strategy](#), currently under consideration. As such, the European Commission may intervene at any given point to repeal the UK Adequacy Decisions.
3. The UK Adequacy Decisions are subject to a sunset clause, i.e., unless expressly renewed, based on a new assessment of the UK regulatory framework, the UK Adequacy Decisions will expire in four years. This is markedly a different process from prior adequacy decisions, which typically renew by default without any need to go through a new review and adoption process. The addition of the sunset clause seems to suggest that the United Kingdom's cards have been marked, and if the relationship between the United Kingdom and the European Union deteriorates in the next few years, this could mean the end of EU-UK adequacy at that time.
4. For the time being, any personal data transfers relating to UK immigration control are excluded from the scope of the UK Adequacy Decisions, pending remediation under UK law.
5. While the United Kingdom now belongs to the increasing group of third countries benefiting from an adequacy decision (including [Japan](#) and the [Republic of Korea](#)), it does not relieve companies subject to

the UK data protection framework from the requirement to appoint an EU representative under [Article 27 GDPR](#) or, similarly, for EU companies subject to the UK GDPR to appoint a representative in the United Kingdom.

[The firm's global data protection team](#) (including in [each of our European offices](#)) remains available to assist you in achieving the compliance of your data transfers at global levels.

## KEY CONTACTS



**CLAUDE-ÉTIENNE ARMINGAUD**  
PARTNER

PARIS  
+33.1.58.44.15.16  
[CLAUDE.ARMINGAUD@KLGATES.COM](mailto:CLAUDE.ARMINGAUD@KLGATES.COM)



**NOIRIN M. MCFADDEN**  
STAFF LAWYER

LONDON  
+44.20.7360.8135  
[NOIRIN.MCFADDEN@KLGATES.COM](mailto:NOIRIN.MCFADDEN@KLGATES.COM)

---

This publication/newsletter is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer. Any views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the law firm's clients.