

# SWISS ARBITRATION REVAMPED – NEW ARBITRATION CENTRE AND AMENDED SWISS RULES

Date: 23 July 2021

## Arbitration World Alert

By: Dr. Johann von Pachelbel

Two new developments relating to Swiss arbitration have occurred, effective 1 June 2021. First, the Swiss Chambers' Arbitration Institution (SCAI), i.e., the Swiss arbitration organization created in order to administer arbitrations under the Swiss Rules of International Arbitration (the Swiss Rules), was restructured and renamed as the "Swiss Arbitration Centre." The new institution is a Swiss company whose shareholders are the Swiss Arbitration Association (ASA) and the Swiss Chambers of Commerce participating in SCAI. Second, the creation of the Swiss Arbitration Centre prompted a review of the Swiss Rules, last overhauled in 2012. The new Swiss Rules, now referred to as the "Swiss Rules of International Arbitration of the Swiss Arbitration Centre" (2021 Swiss Rules), apply to all arbitrations commencing on or after 1 June 2021 (Article 1.2, 2021 Swiss Rules). Arbitration agreements referring to the SCAI or to one of the Swiss Chambers of Commerce will continue to be valid and binding and will be recognized by the Swiss Arbitration Centre as the legal successor of SCAI.

While the rework of the Swiss Rules contains no fundamental changes, there are interesting features from a user's perspective to cope with recent technological and other developments in international arbitration, as well as an overall desire to streamline arbitration proceedings under the new rules. The services provided by the Swiss Arbitration Centre and its proposed model arbitration clause are accessible via the following [website](#).

## STRENGTHENED ROLE OF THE SWISS ARBITRATION CENTRE

The revised Swiss Rules give the Swiss Arbitration Centre a more prominent role. For instance, the Swiss Arbitration Centre's Secretariat (the Secretariat) shall now receive electronic copies of all communications (Article 16.2, 2021 Swiss Rules), and it is no longer the tribunal but the Secretariat who sends the originals of the arbitral award to the parties (Article 34.5, 2021 Swiss Rules) and holds the deposits paid by the parties (Appendix B, Section 4.1, 2021 Swiss Rules). Further, it is for the Swiss Arbitration Centre to decide if a case will proceed if the respondent has not submitted an answer to the notice of arbitration, if the respondent objects against the arbitration being administered under the rules, whether there is manifestly no arbitration agreement referring to the Swiss Rules, or whether the arbitration agreements are "manifestly incompatible" if more than one contract is invoked (Article 5, 2021 Swiss Rules). However, if the Arbitration Court of the Swiss Arbitration Centre (the Court) decides to administer the case, the tribunal retains the full power to rule on any jurisdictional issue, including an objection that claims brought under different arbitration agreements should not be determined together (Articles 5.2 and 23.1, 2021 Swiss Rules).

## **ADAPTATION TO TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENTS AND TRENDS**

The new 2021 Swiss Rules, boosted by the COVID-19 pandemic, have been adapted to modern technological trends: The notice of arbitration and an answer to such notice may be submitted only electronically to the Secretariat, unless the Secretariat requests otherwise or the claimant requests that the Secretariat sends a hard copy to the other party or parties (Articles 3.1 and 4.1, 2021 Swiss Rules). Further, the revised rules now explicitly allow for hearings to be held “remotely by videoconference or other appropriate means, as decided by the arbitral tribunal after consulting with the parties” (Article 27.2, 2021 Swiss Rules). In addition to remote hearings, and in accordance with the previous 2012 Swiss Rules, the new 2021 Swiss Rules continue to provide for the possibility of witnesses and experts to be virtually examined: “The arbitral tribunal may direct that witnesses or expert witnesses be examined through means that do not require their physical presence at the hearing (including by videoconference).” (Article 27.5, 2021 Swiss Rules). The new rules also include an obligation upon the tribunal to discuss data protection and cybersecurity-related issues with the parties soon after having received the file from the Secretariat (Article 19.2, 2021 Swiss Rules).

## **PROCEDURAL INNOVATIONS REGARDING MULTIPARTY AND MULTI-CONTRACT ARBITRATIONS**

Based on an increased number of multiparty and multi-contract arbitrations, the 2021 Swiss Rules now contain enhanced rules on cross-claims, joinder of parties, and the intervention of parties (Article 6, 2021 Swiss Rules). This refers to situations where, for example, a respondent asserts a claim against a co-respondent (cross-claim) or against an additional party (joinder) or where an additional party requests to participate in the arbitration by asserting a claim against a party to the pending arbitration (intervention). Prior to the tribunal's constitution, a separate notice of claim against the targeted party shall be submitted to the Secretariat. Following the arbitral tribunal's constitution, and upon consultation with the other parties involved, it is for the tribunal to decide on the admissibility of the notice, taking into account all relevant circumstances (Articles 6.2 and 6.3, 2021 Swiss Rules). Also, it is for the tribunal to decide on a third person's request to participate in the proceedings “in a capacity other than an additional party” and on its modalities (Article 6.4, 2021 Swiss Rules). Finally, the 2021 Swiss Rules now provide an express possibility of submitting a request to consolidate arbitration proceedings to the Court (Art. 7, 2021 Swiss Rules).

## **LIMITATIONS REGARDING APPOINTMENT OF NEW PARTY REPRESENTATIVE**

In order to secure the integrity of the proceedings, and to avoid risks of jeopardizing the tribunal's impartiality and independence, the 2021 Swiss Rules include a new rule clarifying that “[p]roof of authority of a representative may be requested at any time. The arbitral tribunal may oppose the appointment of a new representative where this would risk jeopardising the impartiality or independence of the arbitral tribunal” (Article 16.4, 2021 Swiss Rules).

## **MEANS OF ACCELERATION OF THE PROCEEDINGS**

The 2021 Swiss Rules contain new rules aimed at an acceleration of the proceedings and providing flexibility. In cases where the parties have not agreed upon a procedure for the constitution of the arbitral tribunal in multiparty proceedings, the Court will no longer provide fixed 30-day periods to each party to designate an arbitrator, but can—on consideration of the individual case—“set a time limit for the Claimant and for the Respondent (or group of parties) to each designate an arbitrator” (Article 11.4, 2021 Swiss Rules) allowing for more expeditious

appointment of the arbitral tribunal. Once appointed, the tribunal is now obliged to hold a case management conference “as soon as practicable after receiving the file from the Secretariat” (Article 19.2, 2021 Swiss Rules) and the tribunal shall, at the initial conference or promptly thereafter, prepare a procedural timetable and hold further organizational conferences as appropriate to ensure efficient case management (Articles 19.3 and 19.4, 2021 Swiss Rules).

Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the 2021 Swiss Rules further entitle the Court to refer the case to a sole arbitrator provided the complexity of the subject matter and the amount in dispute or other circumstances do not justify the case being referred to a three-member tribunal (Article 9.3, 2021 Swiss Rules). Where the amount in dispute does not exceed CHF1 million (approximately US\$ 1.1 million at current exchange rates) the default rule is that the dispute shall be decided in expedited proceedings by a sole arbitrator (Articles 19.4 and 42 (et seq.), 2021 Swiss Rules).

## **FACILITATION OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

While, like the 2012 Swiss Rules, the 2021 Swiss Rules provide for the tribunal's potential role as settlement facilitator (Article 19.5, 2021 Swiss Rules), the revised rules now expressly encourage the search for alternative ways of resolving the dispute even in parallel to a pending arbitration and set out that at “any time during the arbitration proceedings, the parties may agree to resolve their dispute, or any portion of it, by mediation, including under the Swiss Rules of Mediation, or any other forms of alternative dispute resolution” and that arbitration proceedings will be stayed during such period unless the parties agree otherwise (Article 19.6, 2021 Swiss Rules).

## **COSTS OF PROCEEDINGS**

Finally, the schedule of costs has been revised in light of the above-described increased administrative workload of the Secretariat under the 2021 Swiss Rules. At the same time, the fees of the arbitrators have been slightly reduced. The costs and fees can be assessed with the help of a cost calculator accessible on the Swiss Arbitration Centre's [website](#).

## **SUMMARY**

Notwithstanding that the changes to the former “Swiss Rules” are limited in scope, their fine-tuning and modernization undoubtedly enhance flexibility and efficiency. The establishment of the Swiss Arbitration Centre and the reinforcement of its role as an institution by bringing together the competencies and resources of the SCAI and ASA under one roof, and by offering a broad range of services in administering the proceedings, can be expected to further strengthen Switzerland's position as a leading forum of domestic and international arbitration.

## KEY CONTACTS



**DR. JOHANN VON PACHELBEL**  
PARTNER

FRANKFURT  
+49.69.945.196.390  
[JOHANN.PACHELBEL@KLGATES.COM](mailto:JOHANN.PACHELBEL@KLGATES.COM)

---

This publication/newsletter is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer. Any views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the law firm's clients.