

COMING HOME?: FEDERAL CIRCUIT ASKED TO IMMEDIATELY WEIGH IN ON PROPER VENUE POST-TC HEARTLAND

Date: 9 June 2017

U.S. IP Litigation Alert

By: Jason A. Engel, Devon C. Beane

In a case pending in the Eastern District of Virginia, set to begin trial on June 12, 2017, the defendants filed a motion to transfer the case to the Eastern District of Tennessee following the Supreme Court's decision in *TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC*.^[1] The district court ordered expedited briefing on the issue and ultimately determined that the defendants had waived their right to challenge venue. In particular, according to the district court, "*TC Heartland* does not qualify for the intervening law exception to waiver because it merely affirms the viability of *Fourco* [*Glass Co. v. Transmirra Products Corp.*, 353 U.S. 222, 226 (1957)]."^[2]

Because trial is just around the corner for these defendants, they filed an emergency motion to stay the district court case with the Federal Circuit to allow time to file a Petition for Writ of Mandamus ("Mandamus Petition"). On June 8, 2017, the Federal Circuit denied the motion without prejudice to refile if defendants filed the Mandamus Petition. Interestingly, however, Judge Newman dissented from the denial, stating unequivocally that *TC Heartland* "was a change in the law of venue."^[3] Judge Newman explained that "[t]he processes of law are designed not for the convenience of judges, but as safeguards to litigants and warders of justice."^[4] Because the change in law "bring[s] the propriety of the current venue directly into question," Judge Newman believed a stay of the underlying trial was appropriate.^[5]

On the morning of June 9, 2017, the defendants filed their Mandamus Petition and renewed their emergency motion to stay the trial.

Updates to this alert will be provided as they become available.

Notes:

^[1] No. 16-341, 2017 WL 2216934 (U.S. May 22, 2017).

^[2] *Cobalt Boats, LLC v. Sea Ray Boats, Inc.*, No. 15-cv-21, Opinion & Order at 6 (E.D. Va. June 7, 2017).

^[3] *In re Sea Ray Boats, Inc.*, No. 17-124, Dkt. No. 4 at 3 (Fed. Cir. June 8, 2017) (Newman, J., dissenting).

^[4] *Id.* at 4.

^[5] *Id.*

KEY CONTACTS



JASON A. ENGEL
PARTNER

CHICAGO
+1.312.807.4236
JASON.ENGEL@KLGATES.COM



DEVON C. BEANE
PARTNER

CHICAGO
+1.312.807.4436
DEVON.BEANE@KLGATES.COM

This publication/newsletter is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer. Any views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the law firm's clients.