

WHO WILL BE HANDLING YOUR CLAIMS UNDER BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY'S LATEST RETROACTIVE REINSURANCE DEALS?

Date: 13 July 2017

Insurance Coverage Alert

By: John M. Sylvester, Jackie S. Celender

In recent years, we have commented on the various retroactive reinsurance transactions that Berkshire Hathaway and its subsidiary, National Indemnity Company ("NICO"), have entered into with ceding insurers regarding coverage for long-tail asbestos and environmental claims, including how those transactions have affected the handling of policyholder claims.^[1] Earlier this year, NICO announced that it had entered into two new retroactive reinsurance transactions – one with various AIG group insurers (the "AIG/NICO Deal")^[2] and the other with the Hartford group insurers (the "Hartford/NICO Deal").^[3] These two recent transactions differ from many of NICO's prior retroactive reinsurance deals – in which NICO and its affiliated claims-handling entity, Resolute Management Inc. ("Resolute"), had been given claims-handling responsibility – in that the recent transactions do not purport to give control of claims handling to NICO (and Resolute).

Despite representations in the press releases from AIG and Hartford announcing these deals^[4] that they (and not NICO) will maintain control of claims handling, a careful reading of the transactional documents for the AIG/NICO Deal and the Hartford/NICO Deal reveals that NICO gained important rights related to claims handling which, if fully exercised, could significantly impact how policyholder claims are handled and resolved (and, ultimately, whether those claims are paid). This Alert discusses how policyholders may be impacted by NICO's exercise of the claims-handling related rights provided to it under the AIG/NICO Deal and the Hartford/NICO Deal.

I. CLAIMS HANDLING UNDER THE AIG/NICO DEAL

As part of the AIG/NICO Deal, AIG agreed to pay a \$9.8 billion premium to NICO in exchange for NICO's agreement to provide reinsurance for "substantially all of AIG's U.S. commercial long-tail exposures for accident years 2015 and prior, which includes the largest part of AIG's U.S. casualty exposures during that period."^[5] Under the deal, AIG retains liability for the first \$25 billion of losses and then NICO assumes liability for 80% of the additional losses up to the reinsurance limit of \$20 billion.^[6]

Included as exhibits to AIG's 8-K filing on February 14, 2017 are unexecuted copies of the written agreements comprising the AIG/NICO Deal – namely: (i) the Aggregate Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement, dated January 20, 2017 (the "AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement");^[7] (ii) a trust agreement; and (iii) a parental guarantee agreement.^[8] The AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement affords NICO the following consultation, claims-handling, and association rights with respect to claims falling within the AIG/NICO Deal.

1. **Consultation rights.** NICO is afforded consultation rights with respect to:

style="MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px" dir="ltr">

(i) any claim or set of related claims in the Covered Business that has an Exposure Value of \$100 million or more before the Retention has been exceeded, or \$50 million or more after the Retention has been exceeded; and (ii) any Mixed Claim that has an Exposure Value of the Covered Business component of such Mixed Claim of \$75 million or more before the Retention has been exceeded, or \$50 Million or more after the Retention has been exceeded.[9]

The consultation rights are such that AIG "is *obligated* to consult with [NICO] in good faith as soon as reasonably practicable after a triggering estimation of Exposure Value under Section 5.4(a) is made *and before any settlement of such claim or set of related claims.*"[10]

2. **Claims practices.** AIG must notify NICO of "any material changes that [AIG] makes to its claims handling practices after January 1, 2017 . . . which impact the Covered Business, which notice shall include an explanation of the rationale for such changes."[11]
3. **General right of association.** NICO has the "right to associate in *any claim*" subject to the AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement.[12] Moreover, in addition to NICO's audit and access rights, AIG must "make its claims and related managerial personnel available at the reasonable request of [NICO] to review and discuss strategy and management of [AIG's] claims operation."[13] And, in addition to AIG's listed reporting obligations, AIG must "provide such other information and reports related to [AIG's] claims operation to [NICO] as reasonably requested."[14]

II. CLAIMS HANDLING UNDER THE HARTFORD/NICO DEAL

Under the Hartford/NICO Deal, NICO agreed to provide \$1.5 billion in reinsurance to Hartford, above Hartford's then existing net asbestos and environmental reserves of approximately \$1.7 billion, for certain of Hartford's asbestos and environmental liability exposures in exchange for a reinsurance premium of \$650 million.[15]

Included as exhibits to Hartford's 10-K filing for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 are execution copies[16] of the written agreements comprising the Hartford/NICO Deal – namely, the Aggregate Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement, dated December 30, 2016 (the "Hartford/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement") [17] and the attachments thereto.[18] The Hartford/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement similarly affords NICO a broad right to associate in the handling of claims falling within the Hartford/NICO Deal:

6.4 Right to Associate.

style="MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px" dir="ltr">

. . . (b) . . . [NICO] shall have the right . . . to associate . . . in the adjustment, litigation, or negotiation of claims. . . . [19]

Further, Hartford must "in good faith take account of [NICO's] advice and if [Hartford] unreasonably fails to settle a claim upon the advice of [NICO], all expenses incurred after such failure to settle or amounts ultimately paid in excess of the amount recommended by [NICO] shall be excluded from Ultimate Net Loss"[20] (i.e., those amounts paid or payable by Hartford in settlement or satisfaction of Covered Liabilities and for which NICO agrees to reinsure Hartford).[21]

III. WHAT THESE CLAIMS-HANDLING PROVISIONS MEAN FOR POLICYHOLDERS

NICO's right to insert itself in the claims-handling process for those claims that are subject to the AIG/NICO Deal and the Hartford/NICO deal is more extensive than the press releases and publications describing these deals make it appear. . Indeed, the above-cited claims handling provisions of the reinsurance agreements at the heart of these deals reveal that NICO is entitled to involve itself in claims-handling and, ultimately, claims-payment decisions if it so chooses. Particularly on large-dollar claims, there is little doubt that NICO (and Resolute) will insert themselves into the claims-handling process and payment decisions of AIG and Hartford. In the past, such involvement by NICO and Resolute has proved troublesome for many policyholders whose claims were the subject of retroactive reinsurance transactions. Thus, policyholders should understand that claims handlers at AIG and/or Hartford may not be the only persons influencing the handling and outcome of coverage claims that are the subject of the AIG/NICO Deal or the Hartford/NICO Deal. Indeed, claims personnel at NICO and Resolute may well be involved behind the scenes in AIG's and Hartford's claims-handling decisions. Accordingly, policyholders may wish to consult experienced coverage counsel to assist them in navigating any issues that arise from such involvement.

Notes:

[1] See, e.g., Policyholder Litigation Involving Claims Handling by Resolute Management Inc., *Paper submitted for ABA Insurance Coverage Litigation Committee Seminar* (January 2014), [here](#).

[2] The AIG insurers include: AIG Assurance Company, AIG Property Casualty Company, AIG Specialty Insurance Company, AIU Insurance Company, American Home Assurance Company, Commerce and Industry Insurance Company, Granite State Insurance Company, Illinois National Insurance Co., Lexington Insurance Company, National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa., New Hampshire Insurance Company and The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania.

[3] The Hartford insurers include: Hartford Fire Insurance Company, First State Insurance Company, New England Insurance Company, New England Reinsurance Corporation, Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, Hartford Casualty Insurance Company, Hartford Insurance Company of Illinois, Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest, Hartford Insurance Company of the Southeast, Hartford Lloyd's Insurance Company, Hartford Underwriters Insurance Company, Nutmeg Insurance Company, Pacific Insurance Company Limited, Property and Casualty Insurance Company of Hartford, Sentinel Insurance Company Ltd, Trumbull Insurance Company, Twin City Fire Insurance Company.

[4] See Press Release of American International Group, Inc., "AIG Partners with Berkshire Hathaway Unit on Reinsurance Agreement," dated January 20, 2017, [available at http://www.aig.com/about-us/news-and-media](http://www.aig.com/about-us/news-and-media); Press Release of The Hartford, "The Hartford Enters Into Reinsurance Agreement Covering Asbestos And Environmental Liability Reserves With National Indemnity Company," The Hartford Newsroom, News Releases, dated January 3, 2017, [available at https://newsroom.thehartford.com/releases/the-hartford-enters-into-reinsurance-agreement-covering-asbestos-and-environmental-liability-reserves-with-national-indemnity-company](https://newsroom.thehartford.com/releases/the-hartford-enters-into-reinsurance-agreement-covering-asbestos-and-environmental-liability-reserves-with-national-indemnity-company).

[5] Press Release of American International Group, Inc., "AIG Partners with Berkshire Hathaway Unit on Reinsurance Agreement," dated January 20, 2017, [available at http://www.aig.com/about-us/news-and-media](http://www.aig.com/about-us/news-and-media).

[6] See AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement (defined herein), Article II, Section 2.1.

- [7] The note at the top of this document states: "*Certain confidential material contained in this document has been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment and has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Each omission has been marked with '[Redacted].'*"
- [8] American International Group, Inc. Form 8-K, filed February 14, 2017.
- [9] AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article V, Section 5.4(a)
- [10] AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article V, Section 5.4(b) (emphasis added).
- [11] AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article V, Section 5.2(a).
- [12] AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article V, Section 5.3(a) (emphasis added).
- [13] AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article V, Section 5.3(b).
- [14] AIG/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article V, Section 5.3(c).
- [15] See The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, p. 59.
- [16] The note at the top of this document states: "*Portions of this agreement have been omitted based upon a request for confidential treatment. This agreement, including the non-public information, has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission. '[****]' designates portions of this document that have been redacted pursuant to the request for confidential treatment filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.*"
- [17] See The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, Exhibit No. 10.01.
- [18] See Hartford/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, p. v. (Exhibits A-D).
- [19] Hartford/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article VI, Section 6.4(b).
- [20] Hartford/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article VI, Section 6.4(b).
- [21] Hartford/NICO Aggregate Excess Agreement, Article I, Section 1.1 ("Ultimate Net Loss"), Article II, Section 2.1.

KEY CONTACTS



JOHN M. SYLVESTER
PARTNER

PITTSBURGH
+1.412.355.8617
JOHN.SYLVESTER@KLGATES.COM



JACKIE S. CELENDER
PARTNER

PITTSBURGH
+1.412.355.8678
JACKIE.CELENDER@KLGATES.COM

This publication/newsletter is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer. Any views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the law firm's clients.