## THE MASSACHUSETTS SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT CONSIDERS THE EFFECT OF A STATE-MANDATED DEFAULT NOTICE ON THE VALIDITY OF NON-JUDICIAL FORECLOSURES

Date: 24 February 2020

U.S. Financial Institutions and Services Litigation Alert

By: Andrew C. Glass, Gregory N. Blase, Jeremy M. McLaughlin, Hollee M. Boudreau

The Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ("SJC") heard <u>argument</u> on February 13, 2020, on whether compliance with a state-mandated default notice could, nevertheless, void foreclosure sales in Massachusetts. Specifically, the SJC examined whether the provision of the state-mandated notice has the potential to deceive a borrower where it describes a period for reinstating a loan that varies (to the benefit of the borrower) from the period contained in the mortgage.

K&L Gates LLP prepared an <u>amicus brief</u> on behalf of the American Bankers Association, the Mortgage Bankers Association, and other national and state financial services trade organizations. *Amici* explained that the provisions of the mortgage itself resolve any tension (if such tension exists) between the state-mandated notice period and the period contained in the mortgage. Thus, the provision of the state-mandated notice did *not* have the potential to deceive a borrower. *Amici* further explained that deference to plaintiffs' erroneous interpretation would confuse and harm consumers and threaten to cloud title in a vast number of foreclosed-on Massachusetts properties, including those purchased by third-party homebuyers. Thus, *amici* urged the SJC to hold that the subject notice was not inaccurate in a manner that could render a subsequent foreclosure sale void under Massachusetts law.

The SJC is expected to issue its decision this spring.

## **KEY CONTACTS**



ANDREW C. GLASS PARTNER

BOSTON +1.617.261.3107 ANDREW.GLASS@KLGATES.COM



GREGORY N. BLASE PARTNER

BOSTON, NEW YORK +1.617.951.9059 GREGORY.BLASE@KLGATES.COM



JEREMY M. MCLAUGHLIN PARTNER

SAN FRANCISCO +1.415.882.8230 JEREMY.MCLAUGHLIN@KLGATES.COM

This publication/newsletter is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer. Any views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the law firm's clients.